

Various site amendments to Sydney LEP 2012

Proposal Title	Various site amendments to Sydney LEP 2012			
	Amend Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2012 as it applies to various sites to achieve the following outcomes:			
	1. 287 - 289 Crown Street, Surry Hills - increase height control from 15 to 18 metres and FSR control from 2.5:1 to 3.25:1 to enable the redevelopment of the site for residential purposes.			
	2. 119 - 123 Cooper Street and 48 - 50 Adelaide Street, Surry Hills - rezone the land from R1 General Residential to RE1 Public Recreation to reflect the current use of both sites as parks/open space.			
	3. 72 - 90 Telopea Street, Redfern and 72-90A Telopea Street, Redfern - correct an error which meant that no FSR control was applied to this land on the FSR map by introducing a FSR control of 1.25:1.			
	4. Properties identified within the Alexandria Park neighbourhood bound by Buckland, Fountain, McEvoy and Wyndham Streets - rezone the properties from R1 General Residential to B4 Mixed Uses.			
PP Number :	PP_2012_SYDNE_007_00 Dop File No : 12/18285			
anning Team Recom	mendation			
Preparation of the planr	ning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions			
S.117 directions :	2.3 Heritage Conservation			
	3.1 Residential Zones			
	3.3 Home Occupations			
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils			
	4.3 Flood Prone Land			
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements			
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes			
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036			
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed, subject to the following conditions:			
	1. The planning proposal shall be amended to explain what impact, if any, the application of an FSR control of 1.25:1 to the land at 72-90A Telopea Street, Redfern will have on the Baptist Street Heritage Conservation Area.			
	2. The planning proposal shall be amended to include a discussion on the applicability and justifiable inconsistency with s117 direction 1.1 Business and industrial zones.			
	3. That the Director General approve the inconsistencies with s117 direction 1.1 Business			

and industrial zones and 3.1 Residential Zones on the basis that the inconsistencies are mino

4. The planning proposal shall be amended to include a discussion on the proposals consistency with State Environmental Planning Policies.

5. The planning proposal be publicly exhibited for a period of not less than 14 days;

6. Council is to consult with Transport for NSW. This can be undertaken during public

exhibition. 7. The planning proposal is to be finalised within 9 months from the date of gateway determination. The planning proposal rectifies a number of errors that were identified after draft Sydney Supporting Reasons : LEP 2012 was exhibited. These will have little or no impact to surrounding development and serve to clarify Council's intention for the relevant sites. The rezoning of the land bounded by Buckland, Fountain, McEvoy and Wyndham Streets in Alexandria from R1 General residential to B4 Mixed use more accurately reflects the existing uses on the site and allows for its orderly redevelopment. The proposed increase to the height and FSR controls applying to the land at 287-289 Crown Street, Surry Hills has undergone extensive urban design analysis by Council which found that the increase would not have a detrimental impact on surrounding development. Additionally, the increased controls will promote the redevelopment of the site for increased housing in an accessible location close to jobs and amenities. The changes to controls for both the Alexandria and Surry Hills sites respond to submissions made to Sydney LEP 2012.

Panel Recommendation

Recommendation Date :	29-Nov-2012	Gateway Recommendation :	Passed with Conditions	
Panel Recommendation :	The Planning Proposal should proceed subject to the following conditions:			
	1. Prior to commencing public e	xhibition, Council is to amend t	the planning proposal to:	
	(a) explain what impact, if any, th land at 72-90A Telopea Street, Red Area,			
	(b) address the consistency of th Direction 1.1 Business and Indust inconsistencies with the Local Pla	rial Zones and satisfy the depa		
	(c) include a discussion on the p Planning Policies.		vant State Environmental	
й.	2. Community consultation is re Planning and Assessment Act 197	•	and 57 of the Environmental	
	 (a) the planning proposal is class LEPs (Department of Planning 200 (b) the relevant planning authorit exhibition of planning proposals a publicly available along with plann Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning Leps (Depa	D9) and be made publicly availa by must comply with the notice and the specifications for mater ning proposals as identified in states.	ble for 14 days; and requirements for public rial that must be made	
	3. Consultation is required with the EP&A Act:	the following public authorities	under section 56(2)(d) of	
	 Transport for NSW Transport for NSW – Roads a 	nd Maritime Services		
	Each public authority is to be prov relevant supporting material. Eac comment on the proposal, or to in on the proposal. Public authoritie matters to be addressed in the pla	h public authority is to be given dicate that they will require add s may request additional inform	n at least 21 days to ditional time to comment	
	4. A public hearing is not require section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act.			

	otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Signature:	Cleat
Printed Name:	Neithering Date: 4.12.12